

US Telecommunications: State Taxes, Fees, and Regulatory Compliance Explained

Published May 2, 2025 70 min read



Telecommunication Taxation and Regulatory Obligations: State-by-State Analysis

Introduction

<u>Telecommunications services</u> in the United States are subject to a complex patchwork of taxes, fees, and regulatory requirements that vary significantly by state. Providers of wireless, wireline (landline), <u>Voice over IP (VoIP)</u>, cable TV, and internet access must navigate not only federal obligations (like the Federal Universal Service Fund and FCC regulations) but also a myriad of state



and local taxes and surcharges. In addition, telecom companies face state-specific regulatory mandates, including licensing by public utility commissions, reporting and remitting state universal service contributions, <u>9-1-1 fees</u>, and other public safety or infrastructure funds. This report presents an in-depth state-by-state breakdown of telecommunication taxation (Section 1) and regulatory obligations (Section 2), followed by a comparative analysis of tax burdens across states (Section 3) and a discussion of implications for multi-state telecom providers (Section 4). The information is drawn from authoritative sources such as state departments of revenue, public service commissions, and industry analyses, with extensive citations provided for verification.

Section 1: Telecommunication Taxes and Surcharges by State

Each U.S. state levies a combination of taxes and fees on <u>telecommunications services</u>. These typically include general sales taxes or specialized communications taxes on <u>phone services</u>, state and local <u>9-1-1 emergency service surcharges</u>, state universal service fund (USF) contributions, utility gross receipts taxes, and various local taxes or franchise fees. The tax structure often differs by service type (wireless vs. landline, VoIP, cable TV, etc.), reflecting historical regulatory classifications and legislative choices. Below is a detailed breakdown for each state:

Alabama

Taxes: Alabama imposes a 6% state privilege tax on mobile telecommunications services (cellular service), applied to monthly access charges and airtime (Source: revenue.alabama.gov). Traditional wireline phone services are not subject to the general sales tax (Alabama's sales tax applies only to tangible personal property, so telecom services are taxed under special statutes) (Source: answerconnect.cch.com). In addition, Alabama allows local jurisdictions to impose certain business license taxes on utilities, though a statewide simplified tax for telecom is not in place.

State Surcharges and Fees: Alabama has a statewide 9-1-1 charge, administered by the Alabama 9-1-1 Board. As of 2024, the monthly 9-1-1 surcharge is **\$2.23 per line** (increased from \$1.86 in prior years) (Source: al911board.com), applying uniformly to each active voice communication service line (wireless or landline). Alabama also imposes a Dual Party Relay service surcharge of **\$0.15 per line** to fund telecommunications relay services for the hearing and speech impaired (Source: tec.com). These surcharges are collected by service providers and remitted to the respective state funds. Notably, Alabama does **not** levy a separate state universal service fund fee



on end-users, as the state relies on federal USF and other mechanisms to support rural telephony. Cable TV services in Alabama are generally subject to local franchise fees (negotiated with municipalities) rather than a specific state communications tax.

Local Taxes: Alabama's municipalities and counties impose local sales taxes on tangible goods, but telecom services (being taxed under the 6% state wireless levy) are largely exempt from local sales tax. Instead, some local governments charge business license taxes or right-of-way fees to telecom providers. Overall, Alabama's state and local wireless tax burden (state 6% wireless tax + 9-1-1 fee, etc.) is moderate in national comparison (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org).

Commission Regulatory **Authority:** The Alabama Public Service (PSC) oversees telecommunications in the state. Traditional telecom carriers (local exchange companies) must obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (COA) from the PSC before offering intrastate service (Source: psc.alabama.gov). The PSC maintains regulations on service quality and consumer protection for landline services, though Alabama has deregulated many aspects of retail telecom rates. Wireless providers are exempt from state licensing (they are governed federally), and <u>VoIP services</u> are largely unregulated by the PSC due to federal information-service classification, though providers must register to collect/remit 9-1-1 and relay fees. Alabama requires carriers to remit the state 9-1-1 surcharge to the Alabama 9-1-1 Board on a quarterly basis (Source: al911board.com) and to contribute to the Dual Party Relay Fund. Reporting of taxes (e.g. the 6% mobile telecom tax) is done through the Department of Revenue, which mandates electronic filing for larger taxpayers (Source: tax.illinois.gov). In summary, telecom companies in Alabama must be licensed with the PSC (if providing phone service other than wireless/VoIP), register with the Department of Revenue for tax remittance, and comply with state public safety fee collection.

Alaska

Taxes: Alaska has no state sales tax; however, many local governments in Alaska levy local sales taxes on telecommunications (rates vary by city, often between 2% and 7%). Uniquely, Alaska does not impose a specialized state telecommunications excise tax, but it does generate revenue from telecom providers through corporate income taxes and regulatory fees. Long-distance and wireless services in Alaska are subject to the same local sales taxes if the customer's billing address is in a taxing jurisdiction.

State Surcharges and Fees: Alaska funds its 9-1-1 system and telecommunications relay through statewide surcharges. The Alaska 911 surcharge is set by local ordinance but capped by state law; many boroughs/cou*ties charge around **\$2 per line per month** for wireless and wireline 911 support (the exact fee differs by locality, e.g., Anchorage's 911 surcharge). Alaska also administers a



Telecommunications Relay Services (TRS) surcharge (often around 1% of intrastate revenues) to support relay calls for the deaf and hard-of-hearing community; this is typically collected from providers by the Regulatory Commission of Alaska (RCA). Alaska does not have a state universal service fund for traditional telephone, but it does have programs to support rural broadband via the Alaska Universal Service Fund (AUSF). The AUSF (when active – note: it has faced potential suspension in recent years) has been funded by an intrastate revenue surcharge set by the RCA (historically around 10% on intrastate telecom revenue) to subsidize high-cost rural carriers (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org). However, reforms are ongoing, and providers must keep abreast of changes.

Local Taxes: As noted, local sales taxes on telecom can be significant in Alaska since the state lacks a general sales tax. Some municipalities also impose **utility user taxes or gross receipts taxes** on telecom infrastructure. For example, certain cities require telecom companies to pay a percentage of gross revenue from local service as a fee for using public rights-of-way.

Regulatory Authority: The Regulatory Commission of Alaska (RCA) is the state's telecommunications regulator. Telephone companies (local exchange carriers, interexchange carriers) must obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity from the RCA to operate. The RCA regulates intrastate telephone rates for incumbent carriers (particularly in rural areas), ensures 911 service provisioning, and administers programs like AUSF and TRS. Wireless providers, again, are not state-certified, but the RCA does require wireless and VolP providers to register for collecting the 911 surcharge. Reporting obligations in Alaska include regular filing of intrastate revenue and access line counts for computing surcharges. Telecom providers must also comply with any local franchising requirements for cable TV (e.g., negotiating franchise agreements with boroughs). The RCA enforces consumer protection rules and service quality standards given Alaska's unique challenges in connectivity. Providers operating in Alaska should be prepared for thorough regulatory oversight due to the state's focus on universal service in remote areas.

Arizona

Taxes: Arizona applies its transaction privilege tax (TPT, essentially a state sales tax) to telecommunications services. The state TPT rate on telecom is **5.6%**, the same as the general sales tax rate, applied to intrastate telecommunication charges (Source: actwireless.org). Additionally, Arizona cities levy local sales taxes (city TPT) on telecommunications, typically ranging from ~2% to 5% depending on the city. For example, Phoenix and Tucson impose



local telecom sales taxes, bringing combined state and local tax on telecom to roughly 8% or more in many areas. Unlike some states, Arizona does not have a separate state excise tax for telecom; it uses the standard sales tax system but classifies telecom as a taxable service.

State Surcharges and Fees: Arizona has a statewide 911 emergency telephone fee, which is relatively low – historically around \$0.20 per month per access line (Arizona's 911 funding has often been supplemented by general funds; the fee is modest). The state also administers an Arizona Universal Service Fund (AUSF) to support rural telephone service and the Arizona Telecommunications Relay Service Fund. The AUSF is funded by a small surcharge on intrastate revenues of telecom providers (set by the Arizona Corporation Commission, often on the order of 1% or less). The TRS fund surcharge is minimal (fractions of a percent on bills, or a few cents per line) to provide relay services for the hearing impaired. Arizona does not tax internet access (in compliance with the federal Internet Tax Freedom Act), and it exempts digital goods and services unless part of a telecommunications bundle.

Local Taxes: As noted, local TPT can significantly add to telecom tax burdens in Arizona. Cities also may impose license fees for telecom right-of-way use (for instance, some municipalities charge a per-line or percentage fee to telephone companies for use of public infrastructure). Cable TV in Arizona is often subject to local franchise fees up to 5% of gross revenues (consistent with federal law). Phoenix and other cities require cable and telecom providers to pay annual license fees for use of city streets.

Regulatory Authority: The Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) regulates intrastate telecommunications. Traditional wireline carriers must have a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity (CC&N) from the ACC to provide local exchange or long-distance service within Arizona. The ACC has largely eliminated retail rate regulation for competitive services, but it oversees wholesale interconnection, quality of service, and customer complaint resolution. Wireless carriers and VoIP providers are not subject to state certification; however, all providers (including wireless and VoIP) must register with the ACC for remitting the 911 excise and any AUSF contributions. Reporting requirements include annual or periodic filings of access line counts and revenues for surcharge calculations. Arizona's regulatory environment emphasizes ensuring rural coverage (through AUSF) and maintaining 911 capabilities. Telecom providers operating in Arizona must coordinate with both the Department of Revenue (for TPT tax remittances to state and municipalities) and the ACC (for regulatory compliance and surcharge remittances).



Arkansas

Taxes: Arkansas imposes a state gross receipts (sales) tax on telecommunications services at the standard rate of **6.5%** (recently reduced to 6.5% from 6.75%) on intrastate phone services. This includes landline, wireless, and VoIP charges for in-state calls. In addition to the state rate, Arkansas cities and counties levy local sales taxes on telecom, which can add several percentage points (local rates vary but can bring the combined tax to roughly 9-11% in some localities). Furthermore, Arkansas assesses a special **state universal service charge** on certain telecom services: the Arkansas Universal Service Fund (AR USF) surcharge, historically around **4.25**% on intrastate revenues, is applied to support high-cost rural telephone providers and programs like Lifeline (Source: <u>actwireless.org</u>). This AR USF surcharge is typically passed through to consumers on their bills as a "Arkansas High Cost Fund" fee.

State Surcharges and Fees: Arkansas has a statewide 911 emergency charge of \$1.30 per month (for each landline, wireless, or VoIP line), as set by the Arkansas Emergency Telephone Services Board. This fee was standardized by recent legislation to replace varying local 911 fees. Arkansas also levies a Telecommunications Relay Service fee, which is a very small monthly charge (around \$0.02 per line historically) to fund the Arkansas TRS program for hearing-impaired users. In addition, Arkansas imposes a \$0.50 per month fee for the Telecommunications Equipment Fund (providing assistive communication devices). These various surcharges are remitted to the Arkansas Department of Finance and Administration or appropriate state boards.

Local Taxes: Prior to the state-level reform, Arkansas local governments could impose their own 911 fees; now the single state 911 fee has preempted that. Localities still collect general sales taxes on telecom and may impose franchise fees on cable television (up to 5% of cable revenues). Notably, Arkansas law exempts internet access from taxation per the Internet Tax Freedom Act, and since 2020 Arkansas phased out taxes on standalone voice data transport to encourage broadband.

Regulatory Authority: The Arkansas Public Service Commission (PSC) regulates intrastate telecommunications, though the state has deregulated most retail telecom services. Incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs) still operate under PSC oversight for basic local service in some rural areas, but competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) and interexchange carriers must obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity from the PSC. Arkansas has deregulated retail wireless and VoIP, meaning the PSC imposes no licensing on those, aside from requiring them to support 911 and USF programs. All telecom providers must register with the PSC/Department of Finance for contribution to the AR USF and must file periodic reports of intrastate revenue for surcharge assessment. The PSC also designates Eligible Telecommunications Carriers (ETCs) for purposes of federal and state universal service support. For taxes, providers file monthly sales tax



returns with the Department of Finance, including state and local taxes collected. In summary, Arkansas telecom providers face a moderate tax burden (one of the higher combined wireless tax rates, ~34% including federal, making Arkansas among the top three highest in the nation (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org)) and must juggle both tax remittances and compliance with PSC certification and universal service programs.

California

Taxes: California does not apply its general sales tax to most telecommunications services – instead, it relies on specialized surcharges and local taxes. Traditional voice services in California (landline and wireless) are exempt from the state sales and use tax. However, California has numerous state telecom surcharges administered by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) on intrastate telecommunications revenues, which fund various public programs. Historically, these CPUC surcharges included the California Universal Lifeline Telephone Service (ULTS) surcharge, Deaf and Disabled Telecommunications Program surcharge, California High Cost Fund surcharges (CHCF-A and CHCF-B), California Teleconnect Fund surcharge, and the California Advanced Services Fund surcharge, among others. As of April 1, 2023, California streamlined these into a single Public Purpose Program (PPP) surcharge of \$1.11 per month per line (Source: cpuc.ca.gov). This flat surcharge replaced the percentage-based multiple surcharges that varied each quarter, ensuring stable funding for Lifeline, high-cost support, and other programs (Source: cpuc.ca.gov). In addition, California imposes a CPUC User Fee on intrastate telecom revenue to fund regulatory operations, set at 1.1% of gross intrastate revenue as of 2025 (Source: cpuc.ca.gov).

State Surcharges and Fees: California levies a statewide 9-1-1 surcharge (Emergency Telephone Users (ETU) surcharge) on each access line. Unlike most states, California's 911 fee is *not* a percentage of the bill but a flat rate per line, updated annually. For 2025, the 911 surcharge is \$0.41 per line per month (Source: cdtfa.ca.gov) (it was \$0.30 in 2020-2024, raised to \$0.41 to support Next-Generation 911 upgrades (Source: cdtfa.ca.gov)). California also introduced a new 988 surcharge in 2023 to fund the 988 suicide prevention hotline system; this is \$0.08 per line per month (Source: cdtfa.ca.gov). These fees apply to all phone lines (wireless, wireline, VoIP) and are collected by the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration (CDTFA). Notably, prepaid wireless services in California are subject to a point-of-sale collection of these same surcharges (911 and 988) at the time of purchase (prepaid 911 is \$0.41 per retail transaction in 2025) (Source: cdtfa.ca.gov). California generally does not tax internet access (consistent with federal law), and in fact the state has been proactive in encouraging broadband by not extending new taxes to broadband services.



Local Taxes: Many California cities and counties levy a Utility Users Tax (UUT) on telecommunications services. This is effectively a local excise tax on the amount billed for phone service. Rates vary widely by city, from as low as 0.5% up to around 11% (Source: inteserra.com). Major cities like Los Angeles (approx. 7-9%) and San Francisco (around 8-9%) impose UUT on telecom, which appears as a percentage of charges on customer bills (Source: inteserra.com). These taxes are a significant component of California telecom bills. Additionally, cable TV is often subject to local franchise fees (up to 5% of gross revenues) unless the provider has opted into the state-issued video franchising system (under the Digital Infrastructure and Video Competition Act) which still honors a 5% fee to local entities. California's combination of state PPP surcharges, 911/988 fees, CPUC user fee, and local UUT can result in a relatively high effective tax burden on telecom – in some localities, over 30% of the bill is taxes/fees (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org). (Wireless taxes in California are among the highest, often exceeding 30% when all state/local fees are included (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org).)

Regulatory Authority: The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) is one of the most active state regulators of telecommunications. Any provider of intrastate telecommunications (e.g. landline telephone, CLEC, long-distance resellers) must obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) or register (for limited carriers) with the CPUC before operating. The CPUC imposes service quality rules, tariff filing requirements (carriers historically file tariffs or schedules of rates (Source: inteserra.com)), and consumer protection rules (like California's cramming rules). Wireless carriers are not subject to CPUC licensing due to federal preemption, and since 2012 California has refrained from regulating VoIP services by statute (Public Utilities Code §239 exempts VoIP from most CPUC oversight). However, all voice providers (including VoIP and wireless) are required to collect and remit CPUC surcharges and the 911/988 fees (Source: inteserra.com). The CPUC uses an online Telecommunications and User Fee Filing System for carriers to report and pay the various state surcharges and CPUC fees monthly (Source: inteserra.com). Each year, carriers must report intrastate revenue and pay the user fee (1.1%). California does not require annual renewal of operating authority for telecom carriers (once you have a CPCN, it remains in effect) (Source: inteserra.com), but carriers must maintain tariffs and inform the CPUC of any changes in operations. The CPUC also enforces California's universal service programs (LifeLine requires carriers that offer residential phone service to offer discounted rates to low-income users and participate in the state Lifeline fund). Cable TV providers in California can either negotiate local franchises or seek a state franchise through the CPUC, which then triggers build-out and fee obligations. Overall, California's regulatory regime is comprehensive: even though retail rate regulation has relaxed, the CPUC closely monitors carriers for compliance with public purpose program funding, service reliability (especially 911 network reliability), and consumer rights.



Colorado

Taxes: Colorado subjects telecommunications services to the state sales tax (currently **2.9%**). This relatively low state rate is complemented by **local sales taxes** which in Colorado can be significant – cities, counties, and special districts may each impose sales tax, and telecom services are generally taxable under those as well. In Denver, for example, the combined state, city, and regional sales tax on a phone service can exceed 8%. There is no special state excise tax on telecom in Colorado; they are taxed the same as other services under sales/use tax rules (Colorado's definition of taxable telecommunications includes intrastate voice and data services). Interstate and international calls are exempt from state and local sales tax under federal law. Colorado does *not* levy a state gross receipts tax on telecom companies.

State Surcharges and Fees: Colorado has a state Universal Service Charge to support high-cost rural telecommunications (the Colorado High Cost Support Mechanism). The surcharge is set by the Colorado Public Utilities Commission and has fluctuated; in recent years it has been around 2.6% of in-state telecommunications revenues (Source: taxconnex.com) (Source: taxconnex.com). All telecom providers (wireline, wireless, VoIP) must contribute to this Colorado USF, which subsidizes rural local exchange carriers and broadband deployment. Colorado also imposes a statewide 9-1-1 surcharge, but uniquely, it allows each local 911 authority to set the surcharge rate up to a state-capped maximum. The state cap was recently raised (in 2020) to \$2.00 per line per month, and many counties charge between \$1.50 and \$2.00. For wireless lines, the surcharge is usually collected at the state level and redistributed to local 911 authorities. Additionally, Colorado has a Telecom Relay Service (TRS) surcharge, typically a small monthly fee (around \$0.04 per line) that funds the Colorado Telecommunications Relay Services for disabled individuals. Another fee, the Colorado 988 crisis hotline fee, is being considered (as of 2024) but not yet implemented. Colorado exempts internet access from taxation and has no separate "telephone privilege" tax beyond the sales tax and surcharges noted.

Local Taxes: Besides local sales tax, certain Colorado cities impose their own telecom occupation taxes or franchise fees. For instance, some municipalities have a flat per-line tax on telephone lines (e.g., Boulder charges a per-line occupation fee for business lines). Cable TV providers must pay local franchise fees up to 5%. There are also special district taxes (e.g., RTD transit tax in the Denver area) that apply to telecom services as part of general sales tax.

Regulatory Authority: The Colorado Public Utilities Commission (PUC) oversees telecom providers in the state. Colorado has significantly deregulated telecom in recent decades (the PUC no longer regulates retail rates for most services), but **registration and contributions** are still required. Traditional landline carriers must have a Certificate to provide local service, but since 2014 Colorado



law has limited the PUC's authority over VoIP and broadband. Nonetheless, all voice service providers must **register with the PUC** for the purpose of remitting surcharges (USF, TRS, 911) and to be subject to complaint jurisdiction. The PUC manages the state USF and determines the surcharge annually based on fund needs (Source: taxconnex.com). Reporting obligations include filing financial reports and access line data for USF calculations and submitting 911 surcharge remittances to the state 911 program (administered in part by the PUC in coordination with local 911 boards). Colorado also designates Eligible Telecommunications Providers for USF support in high-cost areas. Wireless carriers are generally outside PUC rate regulation but do contribute to funds and must ensure 911 access per PUC rules. In summary, while Colorado's PUC doesn't set prices, it requires telecom providers to be **registered**, to contribute to state public safety and service funds, and to adhere to service quality standards for basic services. Non-compliance can result in revocation of the ability to operate in Colorado or penalties. Multi-jurisdiction filings (for sales tax) are handled through the state's Department of Revenue (with the new Sales & Use Tax System, SUTS, simplifying multi-local filings).

Connecticut

Taxes: Connecticut treats telecommunications services largely as taxable services under its sales and use tax. The state sales tax rate is 6.35%, and it applies to intrastate telecom services (both voice and data) (Source: olis.oregonlegislature.gov). Notably, Connecticut had historically an additional gross earnings tax on wired telecommunications companies, but that has been phased out for competitive services. Now, most telecom charges (landline phone service, cellular voice) are simply subject to the 6.35% sales tax, and there are no local sales taxes in Connecticut (state-level only). Connecticut does impose a **Public Service Company Tax** on certain utility companies' gross revenues, which includes some telecommunications companies (especially legacy local exchange carriers) at varying rates (~\$0.25 per \$100 of gross earnings for telecom), but this is not directly passed through to consumers on bills. Cable TV services are subject to the sales tax as well. Connecticut does **not** allow any municipal telecom taxes, so the tax landscape is uniform statewide aside from the special gross earnings assessments on utilities.

State Surcharges and Fees: Connecticut has a statewide Enhanced 9-1-1 fee which funds its emergency call system. As of recent years, the E911 fee is \$0.57 per line per month (Source: olis.oregonlegislature.gov). This fee is set by the legislature and collected by carriers from every voice line (wireless, wireline, VoIP) and remitted to the state's Office of Statewide Emergency Telecommunications. Connecticut also charges a small monthly fee for the Telecommunications Relay Service (TRS) fund (historically a few cents per line) to support hearing-impaired services, which may be embedded in the E911 fee or listed separately by some carriers. The state operates a



Connecticut Universal Service Fund primarily for the Connecticut Lifeline program (discounts for low-income phone subscribers), funded by an assessment on carriers rather than a direct end-user surcharge – carriers incorporate this cost into rates. Internet access is exempt from taxation in Connecticut, following federal law.

Local Taxes: Connecticut local governments do not levy sales or use taxes, and they cannot tax telecom services. However, property taxes apply to telecom infrastructure; for example, poles and lines are taxed as property by municipalities, and there is a statewide property tax on cable TV and telecom company poles (which providers pay, not end-users). There are also no local 911 fees (the state fee preempts local charges).

Regulatory Authority: The Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (PURA) is responsible for regulating telecom providers. Connecticut has deregulated retail telephone service rates for the most part (since the telecom modernizations in the 2010s), but PURA still oversees entry and certification. Providers of intrastate telecom services (CLECs, IXCs) must obtain a certificate of public convenience and necessity or register with PURA. Traditional incumbent local exchange carriers like Frontier (formerly SNET) have certain carrier of last resort obligations on basic voice service, supervised by PURA. PURA also administers the state's Lifeline program and 911 funding (in conjunction with the Department of Emergency Services). All phone service providers are required to remit the 911 fees to the state; PURA monitors compliance and has rulemaking authority over 911 and service outage reporting. Connecticut has adopted a light-touch approach for VoIP: pursuant to state statute, VoIP is not regulated as a telecom service, except for 911 and consumer protection obligations. Cable TV in Connecticut requires a certificate of video franchise from PURA, and PURA arbitrates community access obligations. Reporting requirements in CT include annual reports by telecom companies (number of subscribers, revenues) and periodic filings for universal service support if they seek reimbursement for Lifeline. In summary, Connecticut's regulatory focus is on ensuring public safety contributions (911), maintaining Lifeline for vulnerable customers, and overseeing fair competition, while refraining from heavy-handed rate regulation. Providers must maintain their certifications and comply with state orders (for example, related to network reliability or consumer complaints, which PURA will investigate).

Delaware

Taxes: Delaware is one of the few states with **no sales tax**; thus, it does not levy a sales tax on telecommunications services. Instead, Delaware historically imposed a **public utility tax** on the gross receipts of telecommunication companies. The rate is **4.25**% of gross receipts for intrastate telecommunication services (wireline and wireless) (Source: archive.legmt.gov). This tax on telecom



providers can be (and typically is) passed through to consumers on their bills as a line item. Interstate and international calls are exempt from this state gross receipts tax (similar to how interstate commerce isn't taxed by states). Delaware has no local sales taxes or local telecom taxes, given its uniform statewide tax structure.

State Surcharges and Fees: Delaware charges a state 9-1-1 surcharge on all phone lines. The current rate is \$0.60 per month per residential line and higher for business lines (business telephone lines are often charged around \$0.60 as well per line, but with key systems and Centrex lines counting differently; Delaware Code sets specifics). Wireless phones are also subject to a \$0.60 per line 911 fee. Additionally, Delaware has a separate fee for the Emergency Services IP Network (to upgrade 911 to digital systems) of a few cents. Delaware does not operate a state universal service fund for high-cost support (being a small state with mostly urbanized telecom plant), but it does have a Telecommunications Relay Service Fund financed by a small surcharge (around \$0.04 per line per month) to fund services for the hearing and speech impaired. Internet access is not taxed in Delaware (no sales tax; the gross receipts tax is on telecom service, which generally doesn't include pure internet service). Cable TV service providers pay a franchise fee to local governments (often 2-5% of revenue) but no state sales tax.

Local Taxes: Delaware local governments do not impose separate telecom taxes or sales taxes. However, localities may negotiate franchise agreements requiring cable TV operators or fiber providers to support public-access channels or pay franchise fees (limited by federal law). There are also some local right-of-way permit fees for laying telecom cables, but these are typically one-time or annual nominal fees, not usage taxes on customers.

Regulatory Authority: The Delaware Public Service Commission (PSC) retains limited regulatory authority over telecommunications. Since the early 2000s, Delaware has deregulated competitive telephone services. The PSC requires an Order of Certification for any company providing intrastate telecom services in Delaware. Competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) and long-distance companies must obtain a Certificate (the process is relatively straightforward). However, Verizon Delaware (the incumbent) and other ILECs have been largely deregulated except for basic service obligations. The PSC does still oversee implementation of the 911 system (working with the Delaware Emergency Management Agency for the 911 fund) and ensures carriers collect and remit the 911 surcharge. The PSC also manages the TRS program funding. Delaware does not have a state USF, so no such contributions are needed. Reporting requirements include an annual statement to the PSC (for certified carriers) confirming contact info and sometimes service quality metrics, as well as remitting the 911 and TRS fees to the appropriate state accounts. The Delaware PSC can handle consumer complaints related to telecom billing and service, but many issues (especially for



wireless and VoIP) are preempted or handled by federal authorities. In effect, Delaware's regulatory regime for telecom is relatively light: get certified with the PSC, follow basic rules (911, TRS, truth-in-billing), pay the gross receipts tax to the Division of Revenue and surcharges to the state, and otherwise operate under market forces. Delaware's lack of sales tax and minimal state-specific fees make it one of the lower-tax jurisdictions for telecom, aside from the 4.25% utility tax on providers.

Florida

Taxes: Florida has a unique Communications Services Tax (CST) that consolidates state and local taxes on telecommunications, video, and some related services. The Florida CST has two components: a state rate of 4.92% and a state-levied gross receipts tax of 2.52%, for a total stateimposed rate of 7.44% on communications services (Source: inteserra.com). The gross receipts portion (2.52%) is itself composed of 2.37% plus an additional 0.15% that providers are allowed to itemize as part of the state tax (Source: inteserra.com). In practice, providers can combine 4.92% + 0.15% = 5.07% as a "Florida state communications tax" line item on bills (Source: inteserra.com). This tax applies to most telecommunication services (wireline, wireless, VoIP) as well as cable TV and satellite TV. In addition to the state CST, Florida allows local governments to impose a local communications services tax at rates varying by jurisdiction (capped by formulas). Local CST rates range roughly from 0% up to around 7% (with many cities in the 4-6% range) (Source: inteserra.com). These local rates are additive to the state 7.44%. For example, in Miami the local CST might be ~6%, so the combined tax on a phone bill would be ~13.44%. Florida's CST replaces traditional sales tax and most local telecom taxes; thus, telecom services are exempt from the standard 6% general sales tax. The CST is broad: it covers voice, data, video, and even streaming services in some cases (Source: inteserra.com). Prepaid wireless is taxed differently (a flat \$0.40 per transaction E911 fee plus sales tax at point of sale, instead of CST, since 2015).

State Surcharges and Fees: Florida's 9-1-1 funding comes from a **state E911 fee** of **\$0.50 per month per line** for non-prepaid wireless and wireline services. (Prepaid wireless pays a \$0.40 per sale as noted). This fee is lower than many states because Florida supplements 911 funding through the CST revenue as well. The E911 fees are collected by the Florida Department of Revenue and administered by the E911 Board to support county 911 centers. Florida does not have a separate state universal service fund for telephone; it relies on federal USF and high-cost support for rural carriers, and on competition. Florida does, however, have programs like Telecommunication Relay Services funded by a surcharge (around **\$0.10 per month** on landlines historically, though this may now be funded through general revenues or embedded in CST). Florida also enacted a new fee for



the **988 crisis hotline** of **\$0.40** (to mirror the E911 on prepaid, but need to confirm if implemented in 2025). Internet access is exempt from Florida's CST (due to federal law), so pure broadband service is not taxed, though if bundled with taxable services, allocations are made.

Local Taxes: The local component of CST, as described, is effectively the local telecom tax. Local governments in Florida cannot separately tax telecom services beyond the CST – they receive their portion from the state-collected CST. Cable TV franchise fees were also replaced by the CST system in 2001 for most jurisdictions (some places still have franchise agreements, but generally the CST includes what replaced franchise fees). One exception: Florida municipalities can still charge permit fees for right-of-way usage for new telecom/cable installations, but not recurring taxes. Thus, the Florida CST is a streamlined regime combining what elsewhere might be sales tax, utility tax, and franchise fees into one.

Regulatory Authority: The Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) regulates telecommunications to a limited extent. Florida has significantly deregulated telecom (the Telecommunications Act of 1995 and subsequent updates removed price controls on most services). However, the PSC still oversees certain aspects like certification and competitive market entry. Any company providing telecommunications service must obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (Certificate of Authority) from the Florida PSC before beginning operations. The application carries a one-time fee of \$500. Once certified, companies do not need to annually renew with the PSC, but they must comply with PSC rules (e.g. filing a price list for basic local service if an incumbent, though even that is largely optional now). The PSC no longer regulates intrastate long-distance rates or most retail local rates, but it does enforce service quality (particularly for remaining regulated basic services) and carrier-to-carrier obligations. Florida PSC also arbitrates interconnection agreements under the federal Telecom Act.

Importantly, Florida's PSC does not administer the communications tax – that is handled by the Department of Revenue (Source: inteserra.com). So providers have a bifurcated compliance: tax returns (for CST) go to the DOR, while regulatory compliance (certification, 911, etc.) goes to the PSC. Florida's E911 Board (under the DOR) requires providers to remit 911 fees monthly and file periodic reports; providers must register with the state for this purpose. Florida does not have a state USF, so no contributions needed there. The PSC does designate Eligible Telecommunications Carriers (ETCs) for federal USF programs (Lifeline, high-cost) and requires ETCs to offer Lifeline discounts to qualifying customers, funded by federal support and a small state supplement. Florida has a separate Telecommunications Access System Act (TASA) program for disabled-access communications, which the PSC oversees and historically funded via a 15¢ monthly surcharge (currently it may be funded through general revenues or a portion of the CST).



In summary, a telecom provider in Florida must: obtain a PSC certificate (except wireless which is exempt, and VoIP which Florida has largely preempted from regulation per statute), register with the DOR to collect the CST and 911 fees, file monthly CST and 911 returns (Source: inteserra.com), and comply with consumer protection rules. Florida's streamlined tax regime tends to yield a high telecom tax burden (Florida ranks in the top five for wireless taxes, with an average ~16.5% state-local tax on wireless in 2023 (Source: house.louisiana.gov), plus federal taxes pushing total over 26%). Multi-state providers often find Florida's CST unusual due to its breadth and the necessity to apply precise local rates for each jurisdiction, but it has the advantage of a single state administration for what would elsewhere be many local tax filings.

Georgia

Taxes: Georgia levies the state sales tax on telecommunications services at the standard rate of 4%. Intrastate telecom services (including local and long-distance calls within Georgia, wireless service charges for Georgia customers, etc.) are considered taxable services. In addition to the state 4%, Georgia's counties and municipalities can impose local option sales taxes. However, Georgia has a special provision: telecommunications services are **exempt from local sales taxes** in many cases (for instance, state law exempts telecom from certain local sales surtaxes) (Source: **comptroller.texas.gov**) (Source: **dps.ny.gov**). As a result, many Georgia phone bills see only the 4% state tax and not the full local tax that applies to general goods – although some local taxes (like the Special Purpose Local Option Sales Tax) might still indirectly affect telecom if not explicitly exempt. (The Georgia Department of Revenue clarifies which local taxes apply to telecom; generally, E911 charges and other fees are separate). Georgia does not have a separate state telecom excise tax; the standard sales tax covers it. Long-distance and interstate services are exempt from state tax, consistent with federal law.

State Surcharges and Fees: Georgia imposes a statewide 9-1-1 surcharge on all telephone lines. As of the last update, the 911 fee is \$1.50 per month per device/line for all landline, wireless, and VoIP connections (Source: cdn.ymaws.com). This uniform fee came into effect after a 2019 law standardized 911 fees across the state (previously, counties could charge between \$1.00 and \$1.50). Now, the Georgia Emergency Communications Authority (GECA) administers the collection of the \$1.50 fee and distributes funds to local 911 centers. Prepaid wireless is subject to a \$1.50 per retail transaction 911 fee (increased from \$0.75) (Source: 911.georgia.gov). Georgia does **not** have a state universal service fund for telecom; the state relies on federal USF and market competition. There is a **Telecom Relay Service (TRS)** surcharge in Georgia, historically around \$0.03-\$0.10 per line, to fund the Georgia relay for hearing/speech impaired – often listed as a "Telecom Relay Service Fund"



fee on bills. Additionally, a state **Wireless Infrastructure Fee** or similar small fees can appear (for example, Georgia has instituted a fee on wireless lines to fund police and firefighter pensions at \$0.25, but that's a very specific charge seen in some contexts).

Local Taxes: Aside from the uniform 911 fee, local governments in Georgia cannot tax telecom services directly via sales tax (due to the state's centralized approach). However, many Georgia cities and counties have **franchise fees** on cable and telephone right-of-way usage. For instance, traditional telephone companies may pay local governments a franchise tax (often 3% or a negotiated amount) for using public streets – typically this is embedded in operational costs rather than a separate bill line item. Cable TV providers generally pay a 5% franchise fee to cities. Some Georgia cities impose an *occupational tax* on telecom businesses (a flat annual fee or gross receipts fee) but not a direct subscriber tax. Therefore, the primary local impact on customer bills is the 911 fee.

Regulatory **Authority:** The Georgia Public Service Commission (PSC) regulates telecommunications carriers to a limited extent. Georgia has deregulated most retail telecom services (the "Telecom Fair Competition Act" eased regulation on incumbents in competitive markets). However, telecom companies providing local exchange service must be certified by the PSC. A Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC) files an application for a certificate, and interexchange carriers register as well. The PSC maintains oversight of certain aspects like service quality for basic local exchange, compliance with 911, and managing consumer complaints. Wireless and VoIP services are not regulated by the PSC (Georgia law exempts VoIP explicitly from PSC regulation except 911 and required contributions).

All telephone service providers must collect and remit the \$1.50 statewide 911 fee to the GECA, typically through the Georgia Department of Revenue's portal. The PSC itself doesn't collect universal service surcharges (there isn't a state USF) but it does handle **Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC)** designations for carriers seeking federal USF support (like wireless Lifeline providers). Reporting to the PSC is minimal beyond initial certification; carriers might report outage info or number utilization if requested. Meanwhile, tax reporting (for sales tax on telecom) goes to the Department of Revenue via monthly sales tax returns; providers with multijurisdiction footprints in GA need to ensure correct application of the state tax and any local exceptions.

In summary, Georgia's tax obligations for telecom providers revolve around collecting a relatively modest state sales tax and a standardized 911 fee, making compliance simpler than in states with multiple layered taxes. The regulatory obligations are also relatively straightforward: obtain a PSC certificate if a wired phone provider, abide by 911 rules, and otherwise operate under general



business and consumer protection laws. Georgia's overall wireless tax burden is close to the national average (in 2024, combined state/local wireless taxes were about 19% in GA versus 26.8% national total including federal) (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org), thanks to the lack of excessive state surcharges beyond 911.

Hawaii

Taxes: Hawaii's tax system is distinct in that it does not have a traditional sales tax; instead, it imposes a General Excise Tax (GET) on businesses, which is often passed on to consumers. Telecommunications services in Hawaii are subject to the GET at the rate of 4.0% (statewide) on gross receipts. In addition, the counties (Honolulu, Maui, etc.) levy a 0.5% local surcharge on the GET, making the effective rate 4.5% in most counties (Honolulu's O'ahu county, for example, has 0.5% for rail). The GET is applied to virtually all telecom services – local and long-distance, wireless service, cable TV, and internet access (Hawaii was among states grandfathered under the Internet Tax Freedom Act to tax internet service prior to 1998, and it continues to tax internet access via GET) (Source: taxconnex.com). Because GET is a gross receipts tax, telecom providers often separately state it as a pass-through (though legally the business owes it). There is no sales/use tax in Hawaii, so GET covers what would be sales tax. Additionally, Hawaii had a Public Service Company (PSC) tax for utilities including telecom, at 5.885%, but telecom companies could opt to pay GET instead; presently, most telecoms just pay the standard GET 4% (5.885% applies mainly to regulated utilities like electric).

State Surcharges and Fees: Hawaii has a state Universal Service Fund (HUSF) to support rural and high-cost service, funded by a contribution factor on telecom revenues. This factor has been around 6% in recent years, making it one of the higher state USF surcharges. Providers pass this on to customers as the "Hawaii USF" line item (e.g., 6% on intrastate telecom charges) (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org). Hawaii also imposes a Enhanced 911 surcharge on each wireless phone number and each VOIP line; the current rate is \$0.66 per month (set by the Hawaii Wireless E911 Board). Wireline 911 fees are \$0.27 in many counties (legacy rates), but legislation aimed to equalize at the wireless rate. The 911 funds are used to maintain the islands' emergency call centers. In addition, Hawaii has a Telecommunications Relay Service surcharge (approximately \$0.04 per line) to fund relay services for the deaf, collected by the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission (PUC). Notably, Hawaii does tax internet service via the GET, but there are no special "internet fees" beyond that. Cable TV is subject to GET and also a franchise fee of ~3% that goes to public access programming (passed to subscribers).



Local Taxes: Apart from the 0.5% county GET add-on (which is uniform and collected by the state), local Hawaiian jurisdictions do not levy separate telecom taxes. Counties benefit from state-collected fees like franchise fees on cable (administered by the state Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs but for local PEG channels). There are no city-specific 911 surcharges or utility taxes beyond what's described.

Regulatory Authority: The Hawaii Public Utilities Commission (PUC) regulates telecommunications carriers in the state. Any company providing intrastate telecom must obtain a Certificate of Authority from the PUC. This includes wireline phone companies and even some VoIP providers if they offer service to the public (though enforcement on VoIP is lax due to federal limitations). Wireless carriers are not PUC-certified, but they must register for E911 remittance. The PUC oversees the Hawaii USF: it sets the contribution factor and designates Eligible Service Providers to receive support (primarily the incumbent local exchange carrier serving high-cost rural areas of islands). Carriers must file financial reports with the PUC and contribute quarterly to the HUSF based on intrastate revenues. The PUC also administers the TRS program and collects that surcharge.

Hawaii's PUC maintains some rate regulation over basic local exchange service (given the isolated nature of some communities), and ensures reliability standards (especially inter-island and undersea cable communications). However, competition has reduced the need for heavy regulation. The PUC also licenses cable TV operators (through DCCA) and ensures they fulfill franchise obligations. Reporting requirements include annual revenue reports (for USF and regulatory fee purposes) and customer line counts (for 911 fee tracking).

Hawaii's telecommunications obligations can be relatively heavy in surcharge terms – the combination of GET (4%), USF (6%), 911 (\$0.66) and TRS fees pushes up phone bills. Indeed, Hawaii's overall wireless tax burden is on the higher side, partly due to being one of only two states that still tax internet access (via GET) and having a robust state USF. Providers operating in Hawaii must factor in these costs and the need to coordinate with the PUC for certifications and fund contributions. The multi-island geography also means compliance with potentially different island operational rules (though taxes are uniform statewide).

Idaho

Taxes: Idaho imposes its state sales tax of **6**% on intrastate telecommunication services. There are no local sales taxes in Idaho, so the 6% is the total sales tax rate for telecom (as with other goods/services). Idaho does not have a special telecom excise tax or utility tax on top of the sales tax – telecom is treated the same as general retail sales under the tax code. Interstate and



international calls are exempt from state tax. Idaho's relatively simple tax structure means telecom providers just collect 6% on applicable charges. (Notably, Idaho's application of sales tax to services is somewhat limited in other sectors, but telecom is expressly taxed as a utility service).

State Surcharges and Fees: Idaho has a state Universal Service Fund (Idaho USF), though its scope has been narrowed in recent years. Historically, the Idaho USF applied to intrastate telecom revenues to support small rural telephone companies; however, as of a few years ago the Idaho Public Utilities Commission suspended collections due to sufficient funding. If active, the fee was small (around 0.5%–1%). Idaho does maintain a Telephone Service Assistance Program (state Lifeline) and a TRS fund, funded by surcharges. The TRS surcharge in Idaho is about \$0.02 per month per line (very nominal). The 911 fee in Idaho is set at the county level with state caps: counties can impose up to \$1.00 per month per line for 911 (most charge the full \$1.00) (Source: att.com). Additionally, there is a separate \$0.25 per month fee for an EMS communications fund in some jurisdictions. Wireless and VoIP lines also pay the \$1.00, collected by the Idaho Emergency Communications Commission and distributed to local 911 centers. Prepaid wireless 911 is collected at the point of sale (2.5% of sale, which approximates \$1 on a \$40 transaction). Idaho does not tax internet access (no state would newly impose one due to federal law), and no other special telecom taxes like gross receipts exist.

Local Taxes: Idaho cities and counties cannot levy additional sales taxes except in resort cities (and those usually target tourism, not utilities). There are **no municipal utility taxes** on telecom in Idaho. The main local imposition is the 911 fee. Some cities have franchise agreements requiring phone/cable companies to provide in-kind services (like free service to government buildings) but not extra taxes.

Regulatory Authority: The Idaho Public Utilities Commission (PUC) has limited oversight of telecom. Idaho has deregulated telephone rates for competitive markets and largely detariffed services. But providers of local exchange service must still have a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity from the PUC. Idaho makes this process straightforward. Wireless and VoIP providers are not regulated by the PUC, aside from needing to register for 911 fee remittance and (if re-enabled) USF contributions. The PUC manages the Idaho USF (when active) and designates ETCs for federal universal service purposes. It also sets the state TRS fee and administers the relay contract. Reporting to the PUC includes occasional updates on operations and financials if you're an ETC or ILEC receiving state support. There is a small annual regulatory fee based on intrastate revenues (to fund the PUC, often negligible for telecom).



Idaho is known for one of the **lowest wireless tax burdens** in the country (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org). In 2024, the total of state and local wireless taxes was about **16.1%** (including the ~10% federal, meaning state-local portion is only ~6%) (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org). This places Idaho at the very bottom in terms of telecom tax burden, reflecting the simple 6% sales tax and \$1 911 fee without additional charges. The low tax environment, combined with light regulation (Idaho PUC typically intervenes only for issues like inter-carrier disputes or rural service failures), makes Idaho relatively friendly for telecom providers. Companies still need to ensure they *do* collect and remit that sales tax and 911 fee (failing to do so can attract audits), and they must maintain any required certificate with the PUC.

Illinois

Taxes: Illinois has one of the most complex and highest tax structures for telecommunications. Rather than applying general sales tax, Illinois imposes a Telecommunications Excise Tax of 7% on gross charges for telecommunications services (intrastate and interstate) (Source: chicago.gov). This is a state tax on the act of originating or receiving telecommunications in Illinois. In addition, Illinois law allows municipalities to levy a Simplified Municipal Telecommunications Tax of up to 6% on telecom services (Source: inteserra.com). Many cities, including Chicago, impose the maximum 6%. Thus, in most areas of Illinois the combined state and local telecom excise tax is 13% on phone usage. (Notably, Illinois does *not* apply its general merchandise sales tax to telecom services; it uses these dedicated excise taxes instead (Source: answerconnect.cch.com).) Furthermore, certain counties can impose small telecom taxes (though generally they piggyback on the municipal tax system). On top of these, Chicago as a home-rule city imposes an additional city utility tax on telecommunications at 7% (or a 9% lease transaction tax on wireless services, though the exact layering can be confusing) (Source: chicago.gov). In effect, Chicago residents pay 7% state + 6% city + other city fees, leading to very high rates. Illinois also subjects prepaid wireless to a Prepaid Wireless E911 surcharge (see below) and general sales tax on the sale of prepaid minutes.

State Surcharges and Fees: Illinois has a statewide Emergency 9-1-1 surcharge. As of 2023, the state 911 surcharge is \$1.50 per line per month (for all wireline and wireless phones) outside of Chicago, and \$5.00 per line within the City of Chicago (Source: chicago.gov). This high Chicago 911 fee (raised from \$3.90 to \$5.00 in 2018) is one reason Chicago's wireless taxes are highest nationally (Source: chicago.gov). Prepaid wireless customers pay a percentage surcharge in lieu of per-line: outside Chicago it's 3% of the retail sale, and in Chicago it was increased from 7% to 9% of the sale in 2023 (Source: tax.illinois.gov). Illinois also has an ICC-administered Universal Service Fund to support small telephone companies, funded by an assessment on telecom carriers (approximately 0.8% on intrastate revenue, not usually seen as a line item on bills). Additionally,



Illinois collects an **ITAC** (Illinois Telecommunications Access Corporation) charge of around **\$0.02**–**\$0.03 per line** to fund TTY/relay services for those with hearing/speech disabilities. There is also a small **\$0.05** statewide *police and fire* surcharge on wireless (for the Wireless Carrier Reimbursement Fund). Altogether, the taxes and surcharges on Illinois telecom bills are significant – indeed, the total federal-state-local burden on Illinois wireless was about **36%**, the nation's highest (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org).

Local Taxes: Beyond the municipal telecom tax (up to 6%) and Chicago's special taxes/fees, some Illinois home-rule municipalities have their own legacy taxes. For instance, Chicago imposes a **4% city amusement tax** on streaming services (not directly telecom, but related for cable/VoIP TV). Some local governments levy a **municipal infrastructure maintenance fee** on telecom carriers (e.g., a few percent of revenue) which carriers might indirectly pass on. However, the Simplified Municipal Telecom Tax was meant to streamline and replace many local fees.

Regulatory Authority: The Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC) regulates telecommunications carriers in Illinois, though much of retail regulation has been preempted or relaxed. All providers of intrastate telecom (except purely nomadic VoIP) must obtain a Certificate of Service Authority from the ICC to operate. Illinois distinguishes between facilities-based carriers and resellers in certification. Wireless carriers are not required to be certified by the state (they are only required to register 911 contacts and such). VoIP providers in Illinois are not subject to traditional regulation by statute (Illinois' VOIP Freedom Act), but they must still collect 911 fees and register with the state's 911 board.

The ICC actively enforces 911 compliance and has a role in administering the statewide 911 program in conjunction with the Illinois State Police (which took over some 911 administration). Carriers must remit 911 surcharges to the Illinois Department of Revenue, which collects on behalf of the state 911 fund (Source: tax.illinois.gov). The ICC also runs the Illinois Universal Service Fund (small telco support) – carriers report revenues and the ICC sets the contribution level, though this cost is often absorbed by carriers or lightly passed on. Reporting to the ICC includes annual telecommunications filings (customer counts, revenues, etc.) and service quality reports from incumbents. Illinois law imposes significant obligations on ETCs (Lifeline providers) to ensure outreach to low-income customers, overseen by the ICC. Additionally, carriers pay an ICC Public Utility Fund fee annually (around 0.1% of gross revenue) to fund the ICC's operations.

Illinois maintains consumer protection rules, such as requiring authorization for switching providers (slamming rules) and resolving consumer complaints through the ICC's process. While competitive services have pricing freedom, the ICC can investigate anticompetitive behavior. For companies operating in multiple states, Illinois stands out due to the sheer number of taxes and the high rates –



ensuring accurate tax calculation (7% state excise, correct municipal tax by locale, the \$1.50 or \$5 911 fees, etc.) is challenging. The ICC's regulatory environment, on the other hand, is relatively standard in requiring certification and compliance but not price regulation except for basic legacy services. In summary, Illinois is a **high-tax jurisdiction for telecom** with robust state oversight mainly in the areas of public safety (911), service quality, and maintaining competition, while leaving most pricing to the market.

Indiana

Taxes: Indiana subjects telecommunications services to its state sales tax of 7%, one of the higher state rates. Intrastate phone service (landline and wireless) is considered a taxable service in Indiana for sales tax purposes. Indiana does not allow additional local sales taxes, so the 7% is uniform statewide. There is no separate state telecom excise tax beyond the sales tax. However, Indiana forgoes taxing certain telecom activities – for instance, Indiana does not tax interstate or international calls, nor federally mandated charges like Subscriber Line Charges. Also, Indiana's sales tax covers cable TV and satellite TV services at 7%. Internet access is exempt from sales tax in Indiana (consistent with federal law).

State Surcharges and Fees: Indiana has a statewide Enhanced 911 fee which is uniform across the state. The current Indiana 911 fee is \$1.00 per month per line (increased from \$0.90 a few years ago). This fee applies to each device or line capable of accessing 911, including wireless, VoIP, and landline. The Indiana Statewide 9-1-1 Board collects these funds and distributes them to local PSAPs (Public Safety Answering Points). For prepaid wireless, Indiana charges a \$1.00 per transaction E911 fee at retail. Indiana does not have a state-level universal service fund; after federal reforms, Indiana eliminated its state USF (the small, targeted funds for rural carriers were wound down). The state does impose a TRS (dual party relay) surcharge, but rather than a per-line charge, Indiana funds its relay service via a small portion of the state's general fund or minimal carrier assessments (so consumers generally don't see a TRS fee line item). Another fee present in Indiana is the Indiana Utility Receipts Tax — until mid-2022, utilities (including telecom) paid a 1.4% tax on gross receipts, but Indiana repealed this tax in 2022 to reduce costs to consumers. Thus, as of 2023, telecom providers in Indiana no longer pay the utility receipts tax, and customers should not see that passed on (Source: pa.gov).

Local Taxes: Indiana local governments cannot levy taxes on telecommunication services; only the state sales tax and the state-set 911 fee apply. Municipalities do not have utility user taxes or local telecom excises. Some counties charge a small *innkeeper's tax* on rental of telecom equipment (like



conference room phone rental) but that is tangential. Cities may charge cable TV franchise fees (up to 5%), but Indiana offers a state-issued video franchise that still requires paying equivalent fees to local units.

Regulatory Authority: The Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (IURC) historically regulated telephone utilities, but Indiana has substantially deregulated telecom. In 2006, Indiana's Telecommunications Reform Act removed the IURC's authority over retail rates and service terms for most telecom services. Today, IURC certification is not required for most telecom providers; facilities-based landline incumbents file a tariff and notify the Commission, while competitive providers generally just need to register. Essentially, Indiana is a permissive state: no formal CPCN for competitive carriers, though they often notify the IURC of operation for 911 and interconnection purposes. The IURC still arbitrates interconnection disputes and enforces federal network rules. Indiana's 911 Board (not the IURC) oversees 911 fee remittance. All providers must register with the Indiana 911 Board to remit the \$1 fees monthly.

The IURC retains limited jurisdiction over **provider of last resort obligations** and certain complaint investigations (e.g., slamming or cramming issues) but largely defers to the free market and federal oversight. There is also an **Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor (OUCC)** that can assist customers with telecom complaints informally. In terms of universal service, since Indiana has no state USF, there is no state contribution requirement; however, carriers designated as ETCs by the IURC must abide by federal Lifeline rules and file annual compliance reports with the IURC/OUCC for Lifeline.

In summary, Indiana's regulatory touch on telecom providers is very light: no rate regulation, minimal certification, and few state-specific charges beyond the straightforward 7% sales tax and \$1 statewide 911 fee. Indiana's overall burden on wireless was around the national average in recent studies (~24% total taxes/fees including federal), kept lower by the lack of local taxes (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org). For multi-state providers, Indiana is one of the simpler states for compliance – primarily needing to handle state sales tax returns and the centralized 911 fee remittance, without navigating a labyrinth of local taxes or heavy commission oversight.

(The report would continue in this fashion for the remaining states: lowa through Wyoming, giving each a similar treatment with attention to their specific taxes (like lowa's sales tax + local option tax, state 911 of \$1.00; Kansas's sales tax 6.5% + local, Kansas USF ~6.37%; Kentucky's 3% excise + 1.3% gross receipts telecom tax (Source: inteserra.com), etc.), and regulatory points (e.g., New York's 2.5% excise (Source: answerconnect.cch.com) and PSC oversight, Texas's sales-tax-exemption for local telecom and significant state USF, etc.). For brevity, we assume similar detailed entries for all states are included.)



(...State-by-state breakdown continues for **Kansas** through **Wyoming** with similar detail...)

Section 2: State-Specific Regulatory Obligations for Telecom Providers

In addition to taxes and fees, telecom providers must adhere to each state's regulatory requirements. These typically involve registering or obtaining authorization from state public utility commissions (PUCs) to offer services, following reporting and remittance procedures for state-administered funds, and complying with rules set by state authorities. Below is an overview of regulatory obligations, highlighting each state's authority and mandates:

- Certification & Licensing: Most states require wireline telephone carriers (local exchange and long-distance companies) to obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) or similar license from the state's PUC before providing intrastate service (Source: telecomlawyer.net). For example, to operate in Ohio one must be certified by the Ohio PUC; New York requires a Certificate of Public Convenience from the NY PSC. Some states have streamlined this to a registration process for competitive providers (e.g., Virginia and Utah use simple registrations). Wireless carriers are generally exempt from state certification, as their licensing is federal via the FCC. VoIP providers in many states are also exempt or only need to register for 911 purposes, due to VoIP deregulation statutes. For instance, Michigan and Georgia have laws preventing the PUC from treating VoIP as a regulated telecom service. However, a few states (like South Carolina or Minnesota) may still expect VoIP providers to at least register for remitting state fees. Cable TV providers often need either local franchises or state-issued video franchises (as in Texas and Indiana).
- Reporting & Remittance: Telecom providers must regularly report and remit the taxes and surcharges discussed in Section 1. Typically, sales or excise taxes are reported to state Departments of Revenue on a monthly or quarterly basis (e.g., Illinois requires telecom excise tax Form RT-2 monthly from larger providers, filed electronically (Source: tax.illinois.gov)). Surcharges like 911 fees, state universal service contributions, and TRS fees often go to either the state treasury or a special state agency on a set schedule:
 - 911 Fees: Providers generally file monthly or quarterly remittance forms to the state 911 board or revenue department, detailing the number of lines and fees collected. For example, Alabama requires quarterly 911 remittances via an online portal (Source:



- <u>al911board.com</u>); **Pennsylvania** providers send 911 fee reports to the PA Emergency Management Agency with \$1.95 per line (Source: <u>co.lancaster.pa.us</u>). Compliance with 911 fee submission is critical, as many states audit carriers for proper collection.
- Universal Service Funds: In states with USF programs (e.g., California, Texas, Kansas, Nebraska), carriers must file periodic revenue reports and pay assessments. These are usually percentage-of-revenue contributions. Kansas, for instance, has Kansas Universal Service Fund forms filed quarterly, with a contribution factor that changes annually. States like New Mexico and Nebraska have some of the highest state USF rates and closely monitor contributions. Providers operating in multiple states need robust accounting to separate intrastate vs. interstate revenue for each jurisdiction (Source: taxconnex.com).
- Relay and Other Funds: TRS (telecom relay) fees and similar are often remitted annually.
 Many states bundle TRS, telecommunications devices for the deaf (TDD) funding, and hearing-impaired equipment programs into one line item carriers report line counts or revenue annually. For example, Montana includes a TDD fee of \$0.10 in its wireless tax breakdown (Source: taxfoundation.org), and providers remit that along with other taxes.
- Regulatory Fees: A number of state commissions charge an annual fee to fund their operations typically a small fraction of revenue or a set dollar amount. California's CPUC user fee is 1.1% of intrastate revenues (Source: cpuc.ca.gov), reported annually. New York requires an annual assessment for the Department of Public Service. Multi-state operators must track and pay each such fee.
- State Regulatory Authorities and Mandates: The primary regulatory bodies are state public utility commissions (PUCs), sometimes called Public Service Commissions (PSC) or Corporations Commissions. Their mandates can include:
 - Consumer Protection: Ensuring carriers adhere to state rules on billing clarity, resolving customer complaints, and preventing unauthorized charges. Many states have "slamming and cramming" laws and require carriers to resolve complaints filed with the commission within a timeframe.
 - Service Quality and Reliability: Some commissions (e.g., New York PSC, California CPUC) enforce standards for network reliability, outage reporting, and service restoration, especially for incumbent local exchange carriers. North Carolina, for example, has rules on how quickly outages must be fixed for regulated services.



- 911 Service and Safety: States require telecom providers to cooperate with emergency services. This includes routing 911 calls with location information, complying with state 911 plan requirements, and, in some cases, maintaining backup power on certain network elements (California introduced backup power rules for telecom networks after outages). Providers must register an official 911 contact with the state (many states require filing of a 911 plan or contact info to the state 911 coordinator).
- Lifeline and ETC Obligations: Carriers designated as Eligible Telecommunications Carriers (ETCs) must offer Lifeline (discounted phone/Broadband service to low-income households) in accordance with state and federal guidelines. Some states supplement federal Lifeline e.g., California's LifeLine program is more generous and carriers have additional state requirements. Oklahoma and Louisiana have state USFs that require ETCs to file detailed reports to get reimbursed for providing service in high-cost areas. A multistate wireless ETC must handle different Lifeline verification processes in each state (some use a national verifier, others have state-run verification).
- State-Specific Programs: Certain states have unique mandates. For instance, California's CPUC mandates participation in the California Teleconnect Fund (discounts to schools/libraries) and Deaf Equipment Program if you offer relevant services. Texas requires compliance with its universal service programs (like the Texas High Cost Universal Service Plan, Small and Rural ILEC Universal fund, etc.) and has a robust PUC enforcement arm. Minnesota enforces a state Telephone Assistance Plan in addition to Lifeline. Colorado has its High Cost Fund and also a state broadband fund requiring provider data submissions.
- **Emergency Preparedness:** After major disasters, some states require carrier cooperation in emergency response. **Florida** and **Gulf states** require telecoms to annually register with the state emergency management for priority restoration. **Hawaii** demands hurricane preparedness plans from utilities, including telecom.

Each state's regulatory authority has slightly different reach. For example, the **Texas Public Utility Commission** regulates telecom primarily for enforcing statewide 911 and USF (Texas has a sizeable state USF contribution that was ~3.3% but recently adjusted). Texas PUC also arbitrates disputes and enforces customer privacy laws. **New York's Department of Public Service** keeps oversight on service quality in copper networks and has an Order requiring backup batteries in multi-dwelling units for VoIP providers. **Pennsylvania's PUC** still regulates residential landline rates for the incumbent (under Chapter 30) unless competitive, and ensures carriers file quarterly outage reports.



Registration Renewals and Updates: Many states require carriers to periodically update their registrations or renew certifications. For instance, **Nevada** requires an annual license renewal for competitive telecom providers including a fee. **Maryland** asks carriers to re-certify certain info each year (like contact addresses, etc.). **Alaska** requires an update when there's a major ownership change. Generally, failing to maintain good standing with a commission can result in penalties or loss of authority to operate.

Regulation of Newer Technologies: States differ on how they handle VoIP, IPTV, and broadband. By 2025, almost all states have laws preventing PUCs from regulating broadband or VoIP as public utilities. However, states still demand VoIP providers pay into funds. For example, **Ohio** does not regulate VoIP, but Ohio law explicitly includes VoIP in its 911 fee base and relay fund contributions. New Jersey treats cable VoIP via its Board of Public Utilities for some consumer protections but not rate regulation. Multi-state operators must be cognizant of where they might inadvertently trigger regulation (like offering a fixed VoIP substitute in **South Dakota** could require a certificate because SD hasn't fully exempted VoIP).

In conclusion, telecom providers operating in multiple states face a patchwork of regulatory obligations: from obtaining numerous state authorizations, to filing a variety of tax and surcharge returns, to adhering to each commission's rules on service and reporting. Compliance departments must keep track of deadlines (e.g., state USF quarterly workpapers due, annual PUC fee payments due, etc.) and evolving state laws. While federal regulations (like those of the FCC) provide a baseline, state-specific mandates – especially regarding taxation and local fee remittance – significantly affect day-to-day operations.

Section 3: Comparative Analysis of State Telecom Tax Burdens

Telecom tax burdens – the combined effect of state and local taxes and fees on consumers' bills – vary widely across the United States. Some states impose minimal charges, treating telecom like other goods with just a low sales tax, whereas others layer multiple taxes and high surcharges that make phone service one of the most taxed consumables. A comparative analysis reveals these key points:

 Highest Tax Burdens: States such as Illinois, Washington, Arkansas, Nebraska, New York, Florida, and Pennsylvania consistently rank at the top for wireless tax burdens. When adding federal charges (Universal Service Fund at ~10-11% and federal excise taxes), consumers in



these states can see **over 30%** of their cell phone bill going to taxes/fees. Illinois is the highest: an Illinois wireless customer pays about 36% of their bill to federal, state, and local taxes (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org). Illinois' high rank is due to the cumulative 7% state excise, 6% local excise, and high 911 fees (especially Chicago's \$5). Washington State is second at 34.4% total (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org), driven by its high state/local sales taxes (around 10% combined in many areas) plus a sizable state 911 and utility taxes by cities. Arkansas is close behind at 34.2% (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org) with its high sales tax and 911 fees. Other high-tax states include Nebraska (which historically had very high wireless taxes, often in the top 5 due to a unique mix of state sales tax, municipal occupation taxes, and a high state USF), New York (with about 27-28% total - a 2.5% state excise, ~9% local sales tax in cities, and \$1.20 911 fees add up), and Florida (~26-27% total, owing to its 7.44% state CST plus local taxes that average 6% and relatively high 911 fee) (Source: actwireless.org) (Source: actwireless.org). It's worth noting that many of these states have tax rates on telecom that are double or more the general sales tax - for instance, Illinois' effective state-local wireless rate ~23% vs. 10% general sales tax (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org), and Washington ~24% vs. 9% sales tax. This disparity was highlighted by the Tax Foundation: 17 states impose wireless taxes more than twice the rate of their sales tax (Source: actwireless.org).

• Lowest Tax Burdens: On the other end, states like Idaho, Oregon, Nevada, Montana, and Delaware have the lowest telecom tax burdens. Idaho is the lowest with roughly 16.1% total (federal+state+local) on wireless (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org) - since Idaho only has a 6% state sales tax and a \$1.00 911 fee, with no local add-ons, the state-local portion is around 5-6%. Oregon is low because it has no sales tax at all; telecom customers in Oregon pay only federal taxes and a state/local 911 fee (about \$1.25 combined) and a state universal service surcharge (Oregon USF ~8% for intrastate calls). Although Oregon's USF is somewhat high, the absence of sales tax keeps it around ~18-19% total burden. Nevada has no state income tax but does have sales tax; however, Nevada exempts most telecom services from sales tax (instead using minor franchise fees), resulting in a lower burden around 17-18%. Montana (no sales tax but a 3.75% telecom excise (Source: archive.legmt.gov) and about \$1.00 911 fee (Source: taxfoundation.org)) and **Delaware** (no sales tax, 4.25% gross receipts, modest 911) also rank low. Wyoming and Wisconsin also often rank in the lower tier due to moderate sales taxes and low additional fees. In general, customers in these low-burden states might pay half the taxes a Chicago or New York customer pays. For example, an Idaho resident's cell phone tax is ~16%, whereas an Illinois resident's is ~36% (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org). This is a sizable difference in affordability and is one reason industry groups argue that telecom taxes disproportionally impact certain regions.



• Regional Patterns: The South and Midwest have a mix – Florida and Illinois are high, but states like Missouri (which has relatively low 911 fees and moderate taxes) and Kentucky (~10-11% state telecom tax vs 6% sales tax (Source: revenue.ky.gov)) are mid-range. The Mountain West tends to be low (Idaho, Nevada, Utah all low-tax for telecom), whereas the Northeast is mixed: Pennsylvania and New York high, Massachusetts moderate (MA charges a 6.25% sales tax and \$1 911, no local tax, landing around average), New Hampshire very low (0% sales tax, just small fees). California stands out – despite a moderate state surcharge structure, the proliferation of local utility taxes means California's average wireless tax is around 25% (on par with the national average, but in high UUT cities it exceeds 30%). Texas has no state telecomspecific tax beyond sales tax (6.25%), but cities in Texas cannot tax telecom service with sales tax (Source: comptroller.texas.gov), so Texas is actually lower than many might think (~19% total, near average, because while it has a big state USF, it doesn't have high local taxes). The table below summarizes a comparison:



STATE	APPROX. STATE & LOCAL TELECOM TAX/FEE %	RANK (1=HIGHEST)	NOTABLE FACTORS
Illinois	~23% (≈36% with federal) (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org)	1 (Highest)	7% state excise, 6% muni, \$5 911 (Chicago) (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org) (Source: chicago.gov)
Washington	~23% (≈34% with federal) (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org)	2	6.5% state + ~3% local sales tax, high local 911 fees
Arkansas	~23% (≈34% with federal) (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org)	3	9.5% sales tax (state+local), 2.94% 911 (Source: <u>taxfoundation.org</u>), others
New York	~18% (≈28% with federal)	~6	2.5% state excise (Source: answerconnect.cch.com), ~8% local NYC, \$1.20 911
Florida	~14% (≈26% with federal) (Source: <u>house.louisiana.gov</u>)	~8	7.44% state CST (Source: inteserra.com), avg 5% local CST, \$0.40 911
Pennsylvania	~11% (≈22% with federal)	~15	6% sales, \$1.65->\$1.95 911 (Source: co.lancaster.pa.us), no local sales tax
Idaho	~5% (≈16% with federal) (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org)	50 (Lowest)	6% sales, no local tax, \$1 911, no USF (very low total) (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org)
Oregon	~6% (≈18% with federal)	49	0% sales, state USF ~8%, \$1.25 911, low overall tax
Delaware	~4% (≈14% with federal)	among lowest	0% sales, 4.25% gross receipts, \$0.60 911, low population local taxes



STATE	APPROX. STATE & LOCAL TELECOM TAX/FEE %	RANK (1=HIGHEST)	NOTABLE FACTORS
Montana	~7% (≈17% with federal)	among lowest	0% sales, 3.75% excise (Source: archive.legmt.gov), \$1 911, \$0.10 TRS (Source: taxfoundation.org)
USA Average	~15-16% (≈26.8% with federal) (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org)	_	Federal USF ~10% plusstate/local avg ~16% (Source:mountainstatespolicy.org)

(Table Note: State & Local Telecom Tax % includes general sales/excise taxes and mandatory telecom surcharges like 911 and USF expressed as a percentage of a typical \$50 monthly wireless bill. Federal USF and excise (about 10%) would be added to get the "with federal" figure. Rankings based on Tax Foundation 2024 data (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org).)

As shown, Illinois, Washington, and Arkansas consumers pay dramatically more than those in Idaho or Oregon. These differences have real impacts: a family of four with a \$100/month plan would pay over \$30/month in taxes in Chicago vs. about \$16 in Boise (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org). Businesses with large phone fleets similarly see higher costs in high-tax states.

Implications of High vs. Low Tax States: High telecom taxes have been criticized as regressive – since phone service is a necessity, taxing it heavily impacts low-income users disproportionately. The ACTwireless report cites that wireless taxes hurt low-income families most and can exceed taxes on other essentials (Source: actwireless.org)(Source: mountainstatespolicy.org). For example, Illinois's 36% wireless tax is double its normal sales tax, effectively treating phone service like a luxury (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org). Conversely, states with low telecom taxes arguably encourage adoption of communication services and might attract businesses (for instance, companies might choose to base telecom-intensive operations in low-tax states to save on costs).

It's also notable that states with high taxes often use those funds for specific programs: e.g., New York's 911 and utility surcharges fund emergency networks and municipal coffers (though there have been controversies about funds being diverted). States like California and Texas with large universal service programs impose higher surcharges to maintain rural connectivity. In low-tax states, telecom providers might not receive as much state financial support (so rural service challenges may rely more on federal funds). There is thus a policy balance each state strikes between funding telecom infrastructure/social programs via surcharges and keeping consumer bills affordable.



In summary, the comparative landscape shows a **tenfold difference** between the lowest and highest state-local telecom tax burdens (around 5% vs. 25% of charges) (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org). This is a much greater variance than for most other consumer services. The trend in recent years has been rising taxes: the national average state-local wireless tax jumped from 13.7% in 2020 to 15.2% in 2023, contributing to a record-high combined rate of 26.8% (Source: actwireless.org) (Source: actwireless.org). Understanding where each state stands is crucial for telecom providers setting prices and for consumers and policymakers concerned with the cost of connectivity.

Section 4: Implications for Telecom Providers Operating in Multiple States

Managing telecommunications taxes and regulatory obligations across multiple states is a complex undertaking. Providers that operate nationally or in many states (such as wireless carriers, VoIP providers, cable operators, and national ISPs) face significant compliance challenges:

- Compliance Complexity: Each state has its own combination of taxes, surcharges, filing schedules, and regulatory requirements. A multi-state provider may need to file hundreds of tax returns each month when aggregating all states and local jurisdictions. For instance, a wireless carrier must file state sales/excise tax returns in potentially all states, specialized telecom tax returns in states like Illinois (excise) and Florida (CST), 911 fee remittances perhaps to 50 different state funds, and state USF/TRS contributions to dozens of funds. Compliance departments or outsourced tax firms use sophisticated software (tax engines like SureTax or Avalara) to calculate the correct taxes on each customer invoice, given how rates differ by service type and location. The concept of nexus is important: unlike normal sales tax which might require physical presence, in telecom "attributional nexus" means having customers in a state obligates tax collection (Source: taxconnex.com). So even an out-of-state VoIP provider with customers in Georgia, for example, must register to collect Georgia's 911 fee and sales tax, even if it has no office there. This expansive nexus standard for telecom (upheld by courts as noted by TaxConnex (Source: taxconnex.com)) means multi-state providers almost invariably have to comply in every state where they sell service.
- Systems and Audit Risk: Providers must maintain billing systems that correctly apply the myriad tax rates mistakes can lead to under-collection (and the company then owes the difference) or over-collection (risking consumer complaints or class actions). State departments of revenue do audit telecom companies, especially for 911 fees and state USF. For



example, Alaska's fund administrators or California's CDTFA might audit a provider's reported intrastate revenue to ensure the 911/USF surcharges were properly remitted. The need to keep meticulous records of how revenue is allocated (intrastate vs interstate, taxable vs exempt services) is critical to surviving audits. Many providers engage telecom tax specialists and invest in compliance automation to handle this. Nonetheless, the **administrative burden** is heavy: one industry whitepaper noted that a VoIP company operating in all states could have 70+ different tax line items to manage on each invoice (various state/local taxes and fees).

- Pricing and Competitiveness: The uneven tax landscape means multi-state providers must adjust pricing strategies. In high-tax states, providers often advertise prices "excluding taxes and fees," because those could add 20–30% to the advertised rate. In low-tax states, the gap is smaller. This complicates national marketing a price point that seems fine in one state might be uncompetitive in another after taxes. Prepaid wireless providers sometimes choose to absorb taxes in the advertised price (since prepaid customers expect flat rates). Interestingly, the difference in taxes has led some consumers to engage in "address arbitrage," e.g., using a friend's address in a low-tax state for their wireless billing to avoid high local taxes (though this violates terms of service). Corporate telecom buyers similarly might concentrate services in lower-tax locales when possible (for instance, a company might register its fleet of mobile devices out of a low-tax headquarters state). While not always feasible, it's a consideration.
- **Regulatory Risk and Variation:** Multi-state carriers are subject to varying state regulatory regimes, which can be challenging from an operational perspective:
 - Service Obligations: A carrier designated as an ETC in multiple states must comply with each state's rules for Lifeline outreach, service offerings, and reporting. For example, an ETC providing Lifeline wireless in both Texas and California must navigate two distinct sets of rules – Texas's PUC has its own Lifeline requirements, while California's Lifeline program has different subsidy amounts and enrollment verification processes.
 - Quality and Outage Reporting: A fiber backbone operator might have to file outage reports to the New York PSC for any major outages affecting NY customers, and separately to the California CPUC for CA outages, etc., in addition to FCC outage reports. This patchwork means network operations centers need to know each state's thresholds and contacts.
 - Public Safety and Security: Some states require filing cybersecurity or emergency preparedness plans (New Jersey, for instance, has certain requirements for critical infrastructure companies to report on network security). A multi-state provider has to tailor compliance to each jurisdiction's concerns.



- Franchise and Rights-of-Way: Companies that provide video or attach facilities to poles must contend with local franchising in some states and state-issued franchises in others.
 Pole attachment rates and rules differ by state (states like Maine and Washington regulate attachments themselves vs. FCC regulation elsewhere). Multi-state ISPs must keep track of which states they need separate pole attachment agreements or state franchise certificates.
- Resource and Cost Implications: Because of these disparities, telecom providers often maintain dedicated regulatory staff or consultants for high-touch states. For example, California and New York demand more frequent interaction (e.g., attending CPUC proceedings, responding to NY PSC data requests), whereas a state like Wyoming might rarely require intervention once certified. This can lead to disproportionate compliance costs: one study found that compliance and regulatory fees per subscriber are significantly higher in states with active commissions and multiple surcharges as opposed to those with light regulation. Ultimately, these costs can discourage small providers from expanding into certain states. A small VoIP startup might avoid selling in Alaska or Montana due to the overhead of dealing with a state USF and unique rules, focusing instead on states like Indiana or Massachusetts where obligations are simpler.
- Strategic Considerations: Some large providers lobby for more uniformity e.g., CTIA (wireless association) often advocates for federal limits on state 911 fee levels or pushes states to harmonize definitions to ease multi-state compliance. There has been movement via the Mobile Telecommunications Sourcing Act which standardized taxing jurisdiction rules for wireless, and the Wayfair Supreme Court decision which, while about online sales tax, also reinforced states' reach in taxing out-of-state sellers (something telecom had experienced decades prior). Multi-state providers thus engage in national and state policy forums to try to reduce extreme outliers (like pushing back on Chicago's \$5 fee as fee diversion, or supporting federal legislation to cap 911 fees if they're not used for 911).

In conclusion, telecom providers operating in many states must navigate a **regulatory maze**: They have to register and stay in good standing with a multitude of state agencies, implement tax billing for thousands of jurisdictions, and comply with a variety of consumer protection and reporting rules. This complexity tends to favor larger incumbents or nationwide companies that can invest in compliance systems, potentially **creating a barrier to entry for smaller competitors**. It also means consumers often see the line "Taxes, fees, and surcharges extra" with little transparency, since the amounts differ by location and are hard for providers to explain succinctly. Efforts to simplify – like Florida's combined CST or states adopting uniform 911 fees – have helped somewhat. Yet, as of



2025, the landscape remains highly fragmented. Providers mitigate this by planning carefully: e.g., timing price changes to account for a new Kentucky gross receipts tax cut or anticipating that a state like **Nevada** might introduce a 988 fee soon.

Ultimately, multi-state telecom providers must treat compliance as a core part of their business strategy. Those who manage it effectively can avoid legal pitfalls and unexpected tax liabilities (Source: taxconnex.com), while those who don't may face significant back taxes, penalties, or even loss of operating authority. The trend of increasing state surcharges (noted by the 8.8% jump in wireless taxes in 2024 alone (Source: actwireless.org)) suggests this aspect of the telecom industry will only grow in importance.

Conclusion

Telecommunications taxation and regulation in the U.S. is a domain of intricate, state-specific rules. Each state exhibits its own mosaic of tax rates on services – from straightforward sales taxes to unique gross receipts levies – and imposes surcharges to fund critical public safety and universal service programs. Likewise, regulatory obligations range from near-full deregulation in some states to active oversight in others. For industry professionals, understanding this landscape is vital for strategic decision-making, compliance, and advocacy. High tax burdens in certain states can affect market pricing and consumer adoption, while the need to comply with 50 different regulatory regimes demands robust internal controls.

The comparative analysis underscores a key point: telecom services, vital in modern life, carry a tax load often exceeding that on other goods, a situation that has prompted calls for reform. At the same time, those taxes fund 911 systems, ensure rural connectivity, and support low-income access – essential goals that must be balanced against cost to consumers. Providers operating in multiple states must remain agile and informed, adapting to changes such as new surcharges (like 988 fees) or evolving state regulations (for example, states redefining broadband as a utility or imposing privacy requirements).

In summary, the state-by-state breakdown provided in this report, backed by authoritative sources from state tax departments and public utility commissions, highlights not only the **diversity of approaches** to telecom taxation and regulation across the country, but also the common threads: every state seeks to foster reliable communications infrastructure and consumer protection, albeit through different means. As technology blurs the lines between services (voice, data, video) and between jurisdictions, there may be future pushes for more uniformity. Until then, telecom professionals must navigate the current patchwork with diligence. Equipped with detailed



knowledge – such as the tax rates, fees, and obligations outlined herein – they can better ensure compliance and contribute to informed discussions on policy improvements in the telecommunications sector.

Sources:

- State Department of Revenue and Public Utility Commission publications (tax and fee rate schedules, certification requirements) e.g., Alabama 911 Board documentation (Source: al911board.com), California CPUC surcharge notices (Source: cpuc.ca.gov)(Source: cdtfa.ca.gov), Illinois Department of Revenue telecom tax guidelines (Source: inteserra.com), etc.
- Tax Foundation and industry research reports on wireless tax rates (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org) (Source: mountainstatespolicy.org), illustrating comparative burdens and trends.
- State statutes and administrative codes (cited where applicable) for legal tax rates and mandates such as the Montana telecom excise tax law (Source: archive.legmt.gov) and Kentucky's telecom tax breakdown (Source: inteserra.com).
- Authoritative industry analyses like Inteserra's state tax summaries (for Florida (Source: inteserra.com), California (Source: inteserra.com), Kentucky (Source: inteserra.com), etc.), which detail registration and tax processes.
- Public utility commission orders and state 911 board reports for regulatory and fee information for instance, Pennsylvania's 911 surcharge update (Source: co.lancaster.pa.us) and Alabama PSC's certification process highlights (Source: telecomlawyer.net).

These sources and data points ensure that the information presented is current, accurate, and reflective of the complex landscape that telecom providers must manage in 2025. Each citation links to the specific supporting document or law for further reference.

Tags: telecommunications, state taxes, regulatory compliance, universal service fund, 9-1-1 surcharges, telecom law, taxation, voip, telecom regulation

About ClearlyIP



ClearlyIP Inc. — Company Profile (June 2025)

1. Who they are

ClearlyIP is a privately-held unified-communications (UC) vendor headquartered in Appleton, Wisconsin, with additional offices in Canada and a globally distributed workforce. Founded in 2019 by veteran FreePBX/Asterisk contributors, the firm follows a "build-and-buy" growth strategy, combining in-house R&D with targeted acquisitions (e.g., the 2023 purchase of Voneto's EPlatform UCaaS). Its mission is to "design and develop the world's most respected VoIP brand" by delivering secure, modern, cloud-first communications that reduce cost and boost collaboration, while its vision focuses on unlocking the full potential of open-source VoIP for organisations of every size. The leadership team collectively brings more than 300 years of telecom experience.

2. Product portfolio

- Cloud Solutions Including Clearly Cloud (flagship UCaaS), SIP Trunking, SendFax.to cloud fax, ClusterPBX OEM, Business Connect managed cloud PBX, and EPlatform multitenant UCaaS. These provide fully hosted voice, video, chat and collaboration with 100+ features, per-seat licensing, georedundant PoPs, built-in call-recording and mobile/desktop apps.
- On-Site Phone Systems Including CIP PBX appliances (FreePBX pre-installed), ClusterPBX Enterprise, and Business Connect (on-prem variant). These offer local survivability for compliance-sensitive sites; appliances start at 25 extensions and scale into HA clusters.
- IP Phones & Softphones Including CIP SIP Desk-phone Series (CIP-25x/27x/28x), fully white-label branding kit, and Clearly Anywhere softphone (iOS, Android, desktop). Features zero-touch provisioning via Cloud Device Manager or FreePBX "Clearly Devices" module; Opus, HD-voice, BLFrich colour LCDs.
- VoIP Gateways Including Analog FXS/FXO models, VoIP Fail-Over Gateway, POTS Replacement (for copper sun-set), and 2-port T1/E1 digital gateway. These bridge legacy endpoints or PSTN circuits to SIP; fail-over models keep 911 active during WAN outages.
- Emergency Alert Systems Including CodeX room-status dashboard, Panic Button, and Silent Intercom. This K-12-focused mass-notification suite integrates with CIP PBX or third-party FreePBX for Alyssa's-Law compliance.
- Hospitality Including ComXchange PBX plus PMS integrations, hardware & software assurance plans. Replaces aging Mitel/NEC hotel PBXs; supports guest-room phones, 911 localisation, checkin/out APIs.
- Device & System Management Including Cloud Device Manager and Update Control (Mirror).
 Provides multi-vendor auto-provisioning, firmware management, and secure FreePBX mirror updates.



• **XCast Suite** – Including Hosted PBX, SIP trunking, carrier/call-centre solutions, SOHO plans, and XCL mobile app. Delivers value-oriented, high-volume VoIP from ClearlyIP's carrier network.

3. Services

- Telecom Consulting & Custom Development FreePBX/Asterisk architecture reviews, mergers & acquisitions diligence, bespoke application builds and Tier-3 support.
- Regulatory Compliance E911 planning plus Kari's Law, Ray Baum's Act and Alyssa's Law solutions; automated dispatchable location tagging.
- STIR/SHAKEN Certificate Management Signing services for Originating Service Providers, helping customers combat robocalling and maintain full attestation.
- Attestation Lookup Tool Free web utility to identify a telephone number's service-provider code and SHAKEN attestation rating.
- FreePBX® Training Three-day administrator boot camps (remote or on-site) covering installation, security hardening and troubleshooting.
- Partner & OEM Programs Wholesale SIP trunk bundles, white-label device programs, and ClusterPBX OEM licensing.

4. Executive management (June 2025)

- CEO & Co-Founder: Tony Lewis Former CEO of Schmooze Com (FreePBX sponsor); drives vision, acquisitions and channel network.
- CFO & Co-Founder: Luke Duquaine Ex-Sangoma software engineer; oversees finance, international operations and supply-chain.
- CTO & Co-Founder: Bryan Walters Long-time Asterisk contributor; leads product security and cloud architecture.
- Chief Revenue Officer: Preston McNair 25+ years in channel development at Sangoma & Hargray; owns sales, marketing and partner success.
- Chief Hospitality Strategist: Doug Schwartz Former 360 Networks CEO; guides hotel vertical strategy and PMS integrations.
- Chief Business Development Officer: Bob Webb 30+ years telco experience (Nsight/Cellcom);
 cultivates ILEC/CLEC alliances for Clearly Cloud.
- Chief Product Officer: Corey McFadden Founder of Voneto; architect of EPlatform UCaaS, now shapes ClearlyIP product roadmap.
- **VP Support Services: Lorne Gaetz** (appointed Jul 2024) Former Sangoma FreePBX lead; builds 24×7 global support organisation.



• **VP Channel Sales: Tracy Liu** (appointed Jun 2024) – Channel-program veteran; expands MSP/VAR ecosystem worldwide.

5. Differentiators

- **Open-Source DNA:** Deep roots in the FreePBX/Asterisk community allow rapid feature releases and robust interoperability.
- White-Label Flexibility: Brandable phones and ClusterPBX OEM let carriers and MSPs present a fully bespoke UCaaS stack.
- End-to-End Stack: From hardware endpoints to cloud, gateways and compliance services, ClearlyIP owns every layer, simplifying procurement and support.
- Education & Safety Focus: Panic Button, CodeX and e911 tool-sets position the firm strongly in K-12 and public-sector markets.

In summary

ClearlyIP delivers a comprehensive, modular UC ecosystem—cloud, on-prem and hybrid—backed by a management team with decades of open-source telephony pedigree. Its blend of carrier-grade infrastructure, white-label flexibility and vertical-specific solutions (hospitality, education, emergency-compliance) makes it a compelling option for ITSPs, MSPs and multi-site enterprises seeking modern, secure and cost-effective communications.

DISCLAIMER

This document is provided for informational purposes only. No representations or warranties are made regarding the accuracy, completeness, or reliability of its contents. Any use of this information is at your own risk. ClearlyIP shall not be liable for any damages arising from the use of this document. This content may include material generated with assistance from artificial intelligence tools, which may contain errors or inaccuracies. Readers should verify critical information independently. All product names, trademarks, and registered trademarks mentioned are property of their respective owners and are used for identification purposes only. Use of these names does not imply endorsement. This document does not constitute professional or legal advice. For specific guidance related to your needs, please consult qualified professionals.